

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
- 09/614,113' - 07/12/00 -	PAN		Р	2915.3US (96
JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI	IM61/0813	\neg	EXAMINER BROWN, C	
TRASK BRITT P O BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

1765

08/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/614,113**

Applicant(s)

Pan et al.

Examiner

Charlotte A. Brown

Art Unit **1765**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 12, 2000 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-18 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) U Claim(s) is/are rejected. 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) X Claims 1-18 **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) \boxtimes All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20) Other: 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-2, drawn to a method for forming a metallic silicide film, classified in class 257, subclass 412.
 - II. Claims 3-18, drawn to a method for forming a gate stack, classified in class 438, subclass 514.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made be another and materially different process such as etching the polysilicon layer.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/614,113

Art Unit: 1765

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 4.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to 5.

Charlotte A. Brown whose telephone number is (703) 305-0727.

CAB

August 13, 2001

Page 3